Standard questionnaire for applications for ethical review by the Paderborn University Ethics Committee

The following standard questionnaire is based largely on the German Psychological Society’s professional ethical guidelines 7.3.1 to 7.3.9 (DGPs, 2016, available in German only). Some of the wording of the questions has been taken verbatim from these guidelines. Where this is the case, reference is made to the respective DGPs guidelines in brackets after the relevant question header. The German Association for Experimental Economic Research's (GfeW) standard procedure for reviewing the ethical aspects of research projects (GfeW review procedure) (https://gfew.de/en-ethik) has also been drawn on to design this questionnaire. Appropriate reference is also made here to any questions based on the GfeW review procedure.

Please answer all of the 15 questions below by ticking “Yes” or “No”.

Applicant(s): 

Short project title: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Preliminary examination (GfeW Question 1): Has the planned study already been examined and rejected by another ethics committee?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Voluntary nature (DGPs 7.3.3): Can it be guaranteed that the subjects’ participation in the study is voluntary?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Consent (DGPs 7.3.3): Will informed (written) consent be obtained from the subjects regarding participation in the study and data collection? (Note: Consent to participation and data privacy statement must be drawn up separately)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Undisclosed participation (DGPs 7.3.3 and 7.3.6): Will subjects participate in the study without being informed of their participation at the time of conduction of the research project or without having given their explicit consent to participate (e.g. experimental field studies, covert observations)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Consent under data protection law cannot be waived in any case, as far as the processing of personal data is concerned. In the case of a negative response to question 2, a short application may still be submitted in certain circumstances. One of these circumstances is if the possible waiver of explicit consent can be reasoned (e.g. in the context of research relating to common educational methods, curricula or teaching methods in the field of education). If this is the case, the reason why explicit consent is not required should be noted in the application. It is recommended to seek an assessment by a data protection officer, depending on the reasons given.
5. Persons in need of protection (DGPs 7.3.3):
Is the study aimed at the participation of subjects who, by law or on account of their physical or mental condition, are not able to give informed consent to participate in the study (e.g. persons under the age of 18 or individuals with physical or mental disabilities) or who, because of the study design, are otherwise particularly vulnerable (e.g. pregnant women, addicts)?

6. Animal testing:
Are any animals involved in the planned project (as study subjects or in any other way)?

7. Information (DGPs 7.3.3 and 7.3.6):
Will the subjects be informed of (a) the purpose of the study; (b) the expected duration of the study and the respective procedure; (c) their right to refuse or terminate participation; (d) foreseeable consequences of non-participation or early termination of participation; (e) foreseeable factors that can reasonably be expected to influence willingness to participate (e.g. potential risk); (f) the expected findings of the study; (g) the guarantee of confidentiality and anonymity and, where applicable, the limits of these; (h) the bonus for participation; (i) the different test groups in experimental studies and (j) who they can contact if they have any questions about the research project and about their rights as subjects?

8. Deception (DGPs 7.3.8, GfeW Question 4):
Will the subjects be deceived about the study content, purpose, method or setting or about the promised participation incentives (e.g. payments for experiments), or will specific information about the study be withheld from them? (Lack of disclosure of the research hypothesis or hypotheses does not fall into this category.)

9. Intimacy or risk of stigmatisation (DGPs 7.3.3):
Does the study address topics that could be perceived by the subjects as intimate (e.g. stressful personal experiences, sexuality, non-conformity) or stigmatising (e.g. illegal or deviant behaviour)?

10. Psychological stress (DGPs 7.3.3):
Is there a risk that the subjects will experience psychological stress, fear, exhaustion or any other negative effects (e.g. triggering of traumatic experiences) beyond everyday levels as a result of participating in the study?

11. Physical risks (DGPs 7.3.3):
Will the subjects be exposed to any physical risks (e.g. pain, negative side effects) or any potentially stressful or even harmful procedures (e.g. blood sampling) as a result of participating in the study?

12. Administration of substances (DGPs 7.3.3):
Will the subjects be administered any medication, placebos or any other substances as part of the study?

13. Remuneration/participation incentives (DGPs 7.3.7):
Will the subjects be offered any financial or other incentives that risk encouraging them to participate through coercion?

---

2 Incentives are to be regarded as coercive if they are designed in such a way that “it must be assumed that the subject is willing to take risks that they would most likely not have taken without this compensation” (Spickhoff & Knebe, 2014, pg. 158). As a rule, this does not include any incentives that represent reasonable compensation for the subject’s travel costs, loss of earnings or time.
14. Disadvantages in case of non-participation (DGPs 7.3.5):
Will non-participation in the study result in any direct disadvantages or negative consequences for the subjects? *(Please note: If participation is required by the relevant examination regulations, potential participants must be made aware of equivalent alternatives for participating in the study.)*

15. Option to terminate participation (DGPs 7.3.3):
Will the subjects have the option to terminate participation during the course of the study at their own request and without any negative consequences, and is this communicated to them in advance?

If you have not *ticked any of the coloured boxes* for any of the questions above, you can submit this document with a brief description of the study (0.5 to 1 page; both as a PDF, please) by e-mail to ethik-kommission@upb.de, i.e. standard procedure. Please note: it is standard procedure that separate consent forms for participation and for data protection must also be submitted.

If, on the other hand, you *have ticked the coloured box for at least one of the questions above*, you will need to follow the in-depth procedure. In the in-depth procedure, in addition to an informative description of the study (1 to 3 pages), all study documents (data collection instruments, instructions etc.) must be provided. If you are following this procedure, please explain why the aspects of your study for which you have ticked the coloured boxes in the standard questionnaire above are necessary. Please also explain how you intend to deal with these aspects from an ethical perspective.

Please tick: Standard or in-depth procedure

[ ] All the white boxes of the standard questionnaire have been ticked. (Standard procedure)

[ ] The deviation is explained in an attached application in accordance with the Paderborn University Ethics Committee’s guidelines for applicants. (In-depth procedure, where at least one coloured box is ticked)

Please tick: Data privacy

[ ] The data protection officer has been duly involved at an early stage in all matters relating to the protection of personal data (Art. 38(1) General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).
(Note: Please inform yourself at an early stage on the data protection web pages of UPB (https://www.uni-paderborn.de/en/university/data-protection) for clarification and examination of data protection aspects of your project.)

Place Date Signature
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